

Effect of $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ Catalyst on the Activation of CH_4 and CO_2 to C_2 Hydrocarbons under Non-equilibrium Plasma

Xiu Ling ZHANG^{1,2}, Wei Min GONG^{1*}, Bin DAI¹, Chang Hou LIU¹

¹Plasma and Chemistry Laboratory, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116012

²Center of Analysis, Dalian Institute of Light Industry, Dalian 116031

Abstract: In the reaction of methane and carbon dioxide to C_2 hydrocarbons under non-equilibrium plasma, methane conversion was decreased, but selectivity of C_2 hydrocarbons was increased when using $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ as catalyst. So the yield of C_2 hydrocarbons was higher than using plasma alone. The synergism of $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and plasma gave methane conversion of 24.9% and C_2 yield of 18.1%. The distribution of C_2 hydrocarbons changed when Pd- $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ was used as catalyst, the major C_2 product was ethylene.

Keywords: Non-equilibrium plasma, catalyst, methane, carbon dioxide.

The oxidative coupling of methane (OCM) to C_2 hydrocarbons using carbon dioxide as oxidant is an attractive process from environmental point of view. Only a few research papers reported for it¹⁻³. In general, the yield of C_2 hydrocarbons was about 6%. This indicated that the method of catalytic activation was unfavorable to the reaction. It is necessary for us to find a new method in order to activate reaction and improve C_2 hydrocarbon yield. Non-equilibrium plasma is a cold plasma in a gas at atmospheric pressure, in which the electron temperature is very high, yet the ionic or molecular temperature is rather low. The advantage of this plasma technology is that less energy was consumed in heating gas and more conversion of methane to C_2 hydrocarbons was achieved. There are hardly papers to report the results of synergism of catalysts and plasma which using in conversion of methane-carbon dioxide to C_2 hydrocarbon reaction.

In this paper, the $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalyst was prepared by impregnation of $\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (20 ~ 40 mesh) with lanthanum acetate in water. The catalysts of Pd/ $\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and Pd- $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ were prepared by impregnating $\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ with PdCl aqueous solution. The evaluation of catalysts was carried out using a plasma reactor with gas chromatograph equipped with flame ionization and thermal conductivity detectors. CH_4 and CO_2 space velocity was 7500 h^{-1} . The power input of plasma was 30 W.

Results and Discussion

1 Effect of $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalysts under plasma

* E-mail: weimingong@163.net

From the results of **Table 1**, it is found that the roles of various catalysts were obvious, but different. When pure $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ alone was used as the catalyst under plasma, it gave a methane conversion of 43.4% and C_2 hydrocarbons selectivity of 30.6%, the main product was CO. In contrast, all $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalysts gave a C_2 hydrocarbon selectivity of more than 60%, and maintain the methane conversion level about 24%. It seems that the molecular of La_2O_3 and $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ interacted each other at the interfacial area and created new active site which offer the active and selective performance of the conversion of methane and carbon dioxide into C_2 hydrocarbons over $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalysts. It should be pointed out that, the $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalysts had no change the distribution of C_2 products, C_2H_2 is the major C_2 product.

Table 1 Effect of $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalysts under plasma

Catalysts	X_{CO_2} (%)	X_{CH_4} (%)	S_{C_2} (%)	Y_{C_2} (%)	Y_{CO} (%)	Distribution of $\text{C}_2\sim\text{C}_3$ /mol%			
						C_2H_6	C_2H_4	C_2H_2	C_3H_8
$\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	16.7	43.4	30.6	13.4	37.7	10.8	15.0	74.2	—
5% $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	22.1	24.5	70.6	17.3	28.4	11.9	11.7	76.4	—
7% $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	21.7	24.9	72.8	18.1	30.0	12.5	12.7	74.8	—
10% $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	22.3	24.3	68.2	16.6	23.7	13.6	13.7	72.7	—
12% $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	24.9	24.1	64.4	15.2	25.2	13.6	14.2	72.3	—

2 The role of Pd- $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalysts under plasma

The $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalysts gave high C_2 hydrocarbons selectivity but the major C_2 product was C_2H_2 . In contrast, the Pd/ $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalyst has high C_2H_4 content but C_2 hydrocarbon selectivity was about 40%. It is well known that C_2H_4 has more economic value than C_2H_2 , if we combine $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ with Pd/ $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalyst to prepare the new catalyst Pd- $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$, it would not only have high C_2 hydrocarbon selectivity but also have high C_2H_4 content in the C_2 products. The result of experiment in **Table 2** just proved this. The Pd- $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalyst gave a C_2 selectivity of 70% and C_2H_4 content about 65%. This indicated that the Pd- $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalyst is an excellent catalyst for C_2H_2 hydrogenation under non-equilibrium plasma.

Table 2 Effect of Pd/ $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and Pd- $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalysts under plasma

Catalysts	X_{CO_2} (%)	X_{CH_4} (%)	S_{C_2} (%)	Y_{C_2} (%)	Y_{CO} (%)	Distribution of $\text{C}_2\sim\text{C}_3$ /mol%			
						C_2H_6	C_2H_4	C_2H_2	C_3H_8
0.01% Pd/ $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	16.4	38.5	34.5	13.3	34.5	24.0	72.3	—	3.7
0.05% Pd/ $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	27.0	34.7	39.5	13.7	33.3	26.0	64.2	—	9.8
0.1% Pd/ $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	28.0	34.6	40.5	14.0	34.2	34.6	43.4	—	15.0
0.01% Pd/5% $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$	22.0	23.8	70.4	16.7	23.4	25.4	65.4	—	9.2

Reference

1. K. Asami, T. Fujita, K. Kusakabe, Y. Nishiyama, Y. Ohtsuka, *Appl. Catal.*, **1995**, 126, 245.

Received 14 June, 2001